



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,585	01/23/2002	Mark Webster Newman	PARC-DAI084	2571
35699 7590 02/25/2009				
PVF -- PARC				
c/o PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP				
2820 FIFTH STREET				
DAVIS, CA 95618-7759				
EXAMINER				
VU, TRISHA U				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2111				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/25/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/052,585	NEWMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TRISHA VU	2111	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) TRISHA VU. (3) ____.
- (2) Shun Yao (Reg. No. 59,242). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant's Proposed Amendment.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hanson (U.S. Patent 6,148,346).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed amendment into claim 1 to include "device's domain-specific file system domain or printer domain protocols" does not clearly distinguish over prior art Hanson. Applicant further proposed to include features: NFS, CIFS, IPP, LPD protocols to distinguish over Hanson. The Examiner indicated that further search and/or consideration are required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Glenn A. Auve/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2111	
--	--